1	Brendan P. Glackin (SBN 199643)	Glenn D. Pomerantz (SBN 112503)	
2	bglackin@agutah.gov	glenn.pomerantz@mto.com	
	Lauren M. Weinstein (pro hac vice)	MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP	
3	lweinstein@agutah.gov  OFFICE OF THE UTAH ATTORNEY	350 South Grand Avenue, Fiftieth Floor Los Angeles, California 90071	
4	GENERAL	Telephone: (213) 683-9100	
5	160 E 300 S, 5th Floor PO Box 140872	D : G D (GD) 20157()	
6	Salt Lake City, UT 84114-0872	Brian C. Rocca (SBN 221576) brian.rocca@morganlewis.com	
	Telephone: (801) 366-0260	MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP	
7	Counsel for the Plaintiff States	One Market, Spear Street Tower	
8		San Francisco, CA 94105-1596 Telephone: (415) 442-1000	
9		Telephone. (413) 442 1000	
10			
11		Counsel for Defendants Google LLC et al.	
12			
13	ANNARDO CITA INDIC		
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
14	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
15	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
16			
17	IN RE GOOGLE PLAY STORE ANTITRUST LITIGATION	Case No. 3:21-md-02981-JD	
18	THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]	
19	State of Utah et al. v. Google LLC et al.,	ORDER RE: GOOGLE-PRODUCED DOCUMENTS IN <i>UNITED STATES V</i> .	
20	Case No. 3:21-cv-05227-JD	GOOGLE LLC	
21		Judge: Hon. James Donato	
22			
23	WHEREAS Defendants have agreed that, subject to the procedure outlined in this		
24	stipulation, the Plaintiff States may produce to the non-State Plaintiffs (the "Private Plaintiffs") in		
25	the above-captioned MDL certain documents Defendants initially produced in the matters <i>United</i>		
26	States v. Google LLC, No. 03010 (D.D.C.) and Colorado, et al. v. Google LLC, No. 03715		
27	(D.D.C.) (collectively, the "Search Matter"), excluding documents any non-party produced to any		
28	of the parties in the Search Matter;		
		1- Case No. 3:21-md-02981-JD	
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: GOOGLE-PRODUCED DOCUMENTS IN UNITED STATES V. GOOGLE LLC		
	1		

NOW, THEREFORE, Defendants and the Plaintiff States hereby stipulate and agree, subject to the Court's approval, as follows:

- 1. To the extent the Plaintiff States seek to use in this MDL any of the documents produced by Defendants in the Search Matter that were not produced by Defendants during discovery in this MDL (hereinafter, "Search Matter Documents"), the Plaintiff States will produce such Search Matter Documents no later than 90 days before the first day of trial, and, if Plaintiffs plan to rely on the Search Matter Documents in connection with motions for summary judgment, Plaintiffs will produce such Search Matter Documents no later than two weeks before opening summary judgment briefs are due to the extent Plaintiffs can in good faith identify or anticipate using those documents. If Plaintiffs identify additional Search Matter Documents that they will rely on in connection with summary judgment after seeing opening briefs, Plaintiffs will produce those documents no later than two weeks before summary judgment opposition briefs are due. Plaintiffs States will adhere to the following protocol:
- a. The Plaintiff States shall not "dump" the Search Matter Documents into this MDL or otherwise give to the Private Plaintiffs unfettered access to documents produced in the Search Matter;
- b. The Plaintiff States may produce to the Private Plaintiffs Search Matter

  Documents which the Plaintiff States believe in good faith are reasonably related to their claims or

  Defendants' defenses in the MDL, and which the Plaintiff States reasonably believe may be used
  as evidence or as the basis for expert testimony in this MDL;
- c. Prior to any production by the Plaintiff States of Search Matter Documents in this MDL, the Plaintiff States shall give Google at least one week advance notice of such production, including a list of the beginning Bates numbers (from the Search Matter) planned for production in this MDL. If Defendants, in good faith, assert that the intended production is an untailored document "dump," or is otherwise improper, Plaintiff States agree to meet and confer in good faith with Defendants regarding the scope of the proposed production.
- d. The States shall provisionally label the Search Matter Documents with the highest level of protection under the operative protective order prior to disclosing or using the

  -2- Case No. 3:21-md-02981-JD

1	document, as follows: "PROV – HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY"	
2	unless third-party information requires a "PROV – NON-PARTY HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL –	
3	OUTSIDE COUNSEL EYES ONLY" designation;	
4	e. The States shall assign the Search Matter Document a Bates number	
5	specific to this MDL, while preserving the Bates numbering associated with the document as	
6	produced in the Search Matter;	
7	f. For purposes of preserving the rights and obligations under the operative	
8	Protective Order, Google shall be considered the Designating Party and/or Producing Party for any	
9	Search Matter Document produced pursuant to this protocol; and	
10	g. The States shall produce any Search Matter Documents simultaneously to	
11	all parties to this MDL. For the avoidance of doubt, this requirement does not apply to Search	
12	Matter Documents produced by Defendants during discovery in the MDL, including Search	
13	Matter Documents eliminated from the Search Matter Production as duplicates of the pre-existing	
14	MDL production.	
15	2. Google reserves all rights as to any documents produced pursuant to this protocol,	
16	including the right to supplement its expert reports, served on November 18, 2022, with citation to	
17	any documents that the Plaintiff States produce thereafter pursuant to the protocol outlined herein;	
18	3. Nothing in this Stipulation shall be deemed a waiver of Defendants' right to seek a	
19	clawback of a document based on a good-faith claim of attorney-client privilege or work-product	
20	protection.	
21	IT IS SO STIPULATED.	
22	DATED: April 18, 2023 OFFICE OF THE UTAH ATTORNEY GENERAL Brendan P. Glackin	
23	Lauren M. Weinstein	
24	Respectfully submitted,	
25		
26	By: /s/ Lauren M. Weinstein	
27	Lauren M. Weinstein	
28	Counsel for the Plaintiff States	
- 1	_3_ Case No. 3:21-md-02981-JD	

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: GOOGLE-PRODUCED DOCUMENTS IN UNITED STATES V. GOOGLE LLC

1 2	DATED: April 18, 2023	MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP Brian C. Rocca Sujal J. Shah Michelle Park Chiu
3 4		Minna L. Naranjo Rishi P. Satia
5		Respectfully submitted,
6		
7		By: /s/ Brian C. Rocca
8		Brian C. Rocca
9		Counsel for Defendants Google LLC et al.
10	DATED: April 18, 2023	MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP
11		Glenn D. Pomerantz Kyle W. Mach
12		Kuruvilla Olasa
13		Justin P. Raphael Emily C. Curran-Huberty
14		Jonathan I. Kravis Marianna Y. Mao
15		
16		Respectfully submitted,
17		
18		By: /s/ Glenn D. Pomerantz
19		Glenn D. Pomerantz  Counsel for Defendants Google LLC et al.
20		
21		
	ORDER	
22	December of the state of the second and the	ES DISTRIC
23	Pursuant to stipulation, it is so ordered.	STATES CAR
24	D . 1 . 4 . 11.24 . 2022	PDERED
25	Dated: April 24, 2023	IT IS SO ORDERED
26		
27		Judge James Donato
28		-4- Siss No. 3:21-md-02981-JD
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE	

GOOGLE LLC